



## Policy Recommendation on Nonmedical Exemptions to Vaccination Requirements for School Entry

### **Policy Recommendation:**

The American College of Preventive Medicine (ACPM) advocates for policies that will promote protection of vulnerable individuals and of communities from vaccine-preventable diseases by upholding vaccination requirements for school entry and limiting vaccine exemptions to only those with medical contraindications.

### **Key Issues:**

- 1) High levels of vaccine coverage, expedited through immunization requirements for school entry, have resulted in significant decreases in disease and death related to vaccine-preventable disease.
- 2) Benefits of vaccination are jeopardized by the increasing trend of parents requesting exemptions for nonmedical reasons.
- 3) Vaccines are a safe means of protecting the public from disease; benefits of vaccination far outweigh risks.
- 4) Protection of the population is a public health responsibility well established in law.
- 5) To protect the health of the population, only medical exemptions to vaccination should be allowed.

### **Supporting Evidence:**

- 1) High levels of vaccine coverage, expedited through immunization requirements for school entry, have resulted in significant decreases in disease and death related to vaccine-preventable disease.

Vaccination, one of the greatest public health achievements of all time, has led to a significant decline in disease over time, even in this century, preventing thousands of deaths, millions of diseases and saving billions in cost to society.<sup>1</sup> Diseases that cause significant morbidity and mortality have been eliminated (measles, rubella,

polio) in the United States and even eradicated in one case (smallpox) due to vaccination efforts, and a substantial decrease in number of cases and deaths have occurred for most vaccine-preventable diseases.<sup>2</sup> Vaccination requirements are established most commonly by state law and typically apply to public schools, private schools, and daycare facilities.<sup>3</sup> Evidence suggests that school requirements can improve vaccination coverage rates<sup>4</sup> and that billions of dollars in direct costs and societal costs can be averted with routine childhood immunizations.<sup>5</sup>

2) Benefits of vaccination are jeopardized by the increasing trend of parents requesting exemptions for nonmedical reasons.

Overall only a small portion of children have nonmedical exemptions to vaccination; however the numbers are increasing<sup>6,7</sup> and those with exemptions tend to cluster spatially<sup>8-12</sup>, eroding herd immunity and leaving more people vulnerable to disease.<sup>13</sup> Vaccine refusal is associated with disease outbreaks, including measles<sup>14-19</sup> and pertussis.<sup>14,11,18,20</sup> Individuals who cannot receive vaccination due to medical contraindications or who have limited immunogenic response to vaccine are dependent on herd immunity and are more vulnerable with an increase in vaccine exemptions.<sup>13</sup>

3) Vaccines are a safe means of protecting the public from disease; benefits of vaccination far outweigh risks.

As prevalence of vaccine-preventable diseases decrease, the focus of the public shifts from the disease itself to the safety of the vaccine.<sup>21</sup> Prior to licensure, vaccines undergo rigorous clinical trials, followed by extensive post-market surveillance for adverse events.<sup>22</sup> Continued improvements have been made to post-market vaccine safety surveillance,<sup>21</sup> making vaccines a highly regulated medical treatment. Multiple studies have shown that vaccines are extremely safe with serious adverse events occurring only very rarely.<sup>23</sup> Aside from those with identified medical contraindications to specific vaccines, the overall benefits of vaccination far outweigh the risks and the overall risks related to vaccination are minimal compared to the risks of the diseases prevented by vaccines.

4) Protection of the population is a public health responsibility well established in law.

The concept of protecting the population is supported by several landmark court decisions: 1905 *Jacobson v. Massachusetts* supported a state law requiring smallpox vaccination of all residents to protect public health and safety, 1922 *Zucht v. King* supported a local ordinance for vaccination as a requirement for school attendance, and 1944 *Prince v. Commonwealth of Massachusetts* found that parental authority can be restricted if doing so is in the interest of a child's welfare.<sup>24</sup> Individual freedom to decline vaccination based on belief or religion results in an unequal

distribution of benefits without any potential risk from vaccination to those who decline and contributes to the erosion of herd immunity, and therefore the erosion of public protection from disease.

5) To protect the health of the population, only medical exemptions to vaccination should be allowed.

In 2015 California eliminated the option for nonmedical exemptions to vaccinations required for school entry,<sup>25</sup> joining Mississippi and West Virginia as the three states that currently do not allow nonmedical exemptions.<sup>26</sup> For states that do allow nonmedical exemptions, less restrictive policies are associated with higher exemption rates and in some cases can be linked to higher incidence of certain vaccine-preventable diseases.<sup>11,27,28</sup> As states continue to examine policies for childhood vaccination, ACPM recommends that nonmedical exemptions be removed and that only medical exemptions<sup>29</sup> be allowed in order to protect vulnerable individuals and to protect the overall population from vaccine-preventable diseases.

#### References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Ten great public health achievements--United States, 2001-2010. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2011 May 20;60(19):619-623.
2. Roush SW, Murphy TV, Vaccine-Preventable Disease Table Working Group. Historical comparisons of morbidity and mortality for vaccine-preventable diseases in the United States. Journal of the American Medical Association 2007;298(18):2155-2163.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). State vaccination requirements. <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/imz-managers/laws/state-reqs.html> Accessed April 10, 2016.
4. Briss PA, Rodewald LE, Hinman AR, Shefer AM, Strikas RA, Bernier RR, Carande-Kulis VG, Yusuf HR, Ndiaye SM, Williams SM. Reviews of evidence regarding interventions to improve vaccination coverage in children, adolescents, and adults. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 2000;18(1):97-140.
5. Whitney CG, Zhou F, Singleton J, Schuchat A. Benefits from immunization during the vaccines for children program era—United States, 1994–2013. . Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2014;63:352-355.
6. Imdad A, Tserenpuntsag B, Blog DS, Halsey NA, Easton DE, Shaw J. Religious exemptions for immunization and risk of pertussis in new york state, 2000-

2011. *Pediatrics* 2013 Jul;132(1):37-43.

7. Richards JL, Wagenaar BH, Van Otterloo J, Gondalia R, Atwell JE, Kleinbaum DG, Salmon DA, Omer SB. Nonmedical exemptions to immunization requirements in California: A 16-year longitudinal analysis of trends and associated community factors. *Vaccine* 2013;31(29):3009-3013.
8. Lieu TA, Ray GT, Klein NP, Chung C, Kulldorff M. Geographic clusters in underimmunization and vaccine refusal. *Pediatrics* 2015 Feb;135(2):280-289.
9. Carrel M, Bitterman P. Personal belief exemptions to vaccination in California: A spatial analysis. *Pediatrics* 2015 Jul;136(1):80-88.
10. Ernst K, Jacobs ET. Implications of philosophical and personal belief exemptions on re-emergence of vaccine-preventable disease: The role of spatial clustering in under-vaccination. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics* 2012;8(6):838-841.
11. Omer SB, Enger KS, Moulton LH, Halsey NA, Stokley S, Salmon DA. Geographic clustering of nonmedical exemptions to school immunization requirements and associations with geographic clustering of pertussis. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2008 Dec 15;168(12):1389-1396.
12. Shaw J, Tserenpuntsag B, McNutt L, Halsey N. United states private schools have higher rates of exemptions to school immunization requirements than public schools. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 2014;165(1):129-133.
13. Rashid H, Khandaker G, Booy R. Vaccination and herd immunity: What more do we know? *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* 2012 Jun;25(3):243-249.
14. Phadke VK, Bednarczyk RA, Salmon DA, Omer SB. Association between vaccine refusal and vaccine-preventable diseases in the United States: A review of measles and pertussis. *Journal of American Medical Association* 2016;315(11):1149-1158.
15. Fiebelkorn AP, Redd SB, Gastanaduy PA, Clemmons N, Rota PA, Rota JS, Bellini WJ, Wallace GS. A comparison of postelimination measles epidemiology in the United States, 2009-2014 versus 2001-2008. *Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society* 2015 Dec 13.
16. Gahr P, DeVries AS, Wallace G, Miller C, Kenyon C, Sweet K, Martin K, White K, Bagstad E, Hooker C, Krawczynski G, Boxrud D, Liu G, Stinchfield P, LeBlanc J, Hickman C, Bahta L, Barskey A, Lynfield R. An outbreak of measles in an undervaccinated community. *Pediatrics* 2014 Jul;134(1):e220-8.

17. Parker AA, Staggs W, Dayan GH, Ortega-Sánchez IR, Rota PA, Lowe L, Boardman P, Teclaw R, Graves C, LeBaron CW. Implications of a 2005 measles outbreak in Indiana for sustained elimination of measles in the United States. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2006;355(5):447-455.
18. Wang E, Clymer J, Davis-Hayes C, Bottenheim A. Nonmedical exemptions from school immunization requirements: A systematic review. *American Journal of Public Health* 2014;104(11):e62-e84.
19. Majumder MS, Cohn EL, Mekaru SR, Huston JE, Brownstein JS. Substandard vaccination compliance and the 2015 measles outbreak. *JAMA Pediatrics* 2015;169(5):494-495.
20. Atwell JE, Van Otterloo J, Zipprich J, Winter K, Harriman K, Salmon DA, Halsey NA, Omer SB. Nonmedical vaccine exemptions and pertussis in California, 2010. *Pediatrics* 2013 Oct;132(4):624-630.
21. Ball R. Perspectives on the future of postmarket vaccine safety surveillance and evaluation. *Expert Review of Vaccines* 2014;13(4):455-462.
22. McNeil MM, Gee J, Weintraub ES, Belongia EA, Lee GM, Glanz JM, Nordin JD, Klein NP, Baxter R, Naleway AL. The vaccine safety datalink: Successes and challenges monitoring vaccine safety. *Vaccine* 2014;32(42):5390-5398.
23. Maglione MA, Das L, Raaen L, Smith A, Chari R, Newberry S, Shanman R, Perry T, Goetz MB, Gidengil C. Safety of vaccines used for routine immunization of U.S. children: A systematic review. *Pediatrics* 2014 Aug;134(2):325-337.
24. Diekema DS. Personal belief exemptions from school vaccination requirements. *Annual Review of Public Health* 2014;35:275-292.
25. Mello MM, Studdert DM, Parmet WE. Shifting vaccination Politics—The end of personal-belief exemptions in California. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2015;373(9):785-787.
26. Immunization Action Coalition. State Information. Exemptions permitted for state immunization requirements. <http://www.immunize.org/laws/exemptions.asp> Accessed January 31, 2016.
27. Bradford WD, Mandich A. Some state vaccination laws contribute to greater exemption rates and disease outbreaks in the United States. *Health Affairs (Project Hope)* 2015 Aug 1;34(8):1383-1390.
28. Omer SB, Richards JL, Ward M, Bednarczyk RA. Vaccination policies and rates of exemption from immunization, 2005–2011. *New England Journal of Medicine*

2012;367(12):1170-1171.

29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Who should NOT get vaccinated? <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd-vac/should-not-vacc.htm>  
Accessed February 21, 2016.